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The Ritz method is applied in a three-dimensional (3-D) analysis to obtain accurate frequencies for thick, linearly tapered, annular plates. The method is formulated for annular plates having any combination of free or fixed boundaries at both inner and outer edges. Admissible functions for the three displacement components are chosen as trigonometric functions in the circumferential co-ordinate, and algebraic polynomials in the radial and thickness co-ordinates. Upper bound convergence of the non-dimensional frequencies to the exact values within at least four significant figures is demonstrated. Comparisons of results for annular plates with linearly varying thickness are made with ones obtained by others using 2-D classical thin plate theory. Extensive and accurate (four significant figures) frequencies are presented for completely free, thick, linearly tapered annular plates having ratios of average plate thickness to difference between outer radius ( $a$ ) and inner radius $(b)$ ratios $\left(h_{m} / L\right)$ of $0 \cdot 1$ and $0 \cdot 2$ for $b / L=0.2$ and $0 \cdot 5$. All 3-D modes are included in the analyses; e.g., flexural, thickness-shear, in-plane stretching, and torsional. Because frequency data given is exact to at least four digits, it is benchmark data against which the results from other methods (e.g., 2-D thick plate theory, finite element methods) and may be compared. Throughout this work, Poisson's ratio $v$ is fixed at 0.3 for numerical calculations.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Most of the thick circular and annular plate vibration analyses have been performed with two-dimensional (2-D), sixth order plate theory, usually of the type ascribed to Mindlin [1]. Such solutions are generally valid for the lower frequency, flexural modes of moderately thick plates. However, in recent years considerable attention has been given to solutions for plates of constant thickness obtained from the exact, three-dimensional (3-D) theory of elasticity [2-9], which are valid for arbitrary thickness. In such cases the terminology "circular and
annular plates" may lose their meaning, especially as the plates become very thick, and terms such as "solid and hollow cylinders" better describe the problem. As computers and analytical procedures become more efficient, three-dimensional solutions will definitely become increasingly important in the future, not only for obtaining accurate frequencies and mode shapes, but for verifying the accuracies of two-dimensional plate theories.

In the case of plates with variable thickness, the governing differential equation of motion of 2-D theory is found to have variable coefficients, and this fact increases the difficulty of solution. Nevertheless, considerable attention has been paid to variable thickness plates in recent years. As regards circular and annular plates of variable thickness, a great deal of information is available for various types of thickness variations and conditions at the boundary of the plates. Leissa's book [10] and series of review articles [11-13] on plate vibrations are reasonably thorough sources of information for the periods preceding 1966 [10], and from 1973 to 1985 [11-13].

Early 2-D investigations of vibrations of circular and annular plates with variable thickness were made by Conway [14, 15], Kovalenko [16], Kazantseva [17], and Ehrich [18] using classical, thin plate theory. Kovalenko's [16] primary work was a direct attack upon the differential equation by assuming a series form of solution. Boundary conditions led to an infinite characteristic determinant, which was truncated for an approximate solution. Numerical results were not given for completely free boundary conditions, but for edges free on the outside and clamped on the inside.

Sony and Amba Rao [19] conducted a study of the free axisymmetric vibrations of orthotropic circular plates with linear variation in thickness. The governing differential equations were derived on the basis of Mindlin plate theory. The Chebyshev collocation technique was adopted to solve the differential equations.
Annular Mindlin plates of varying thickness were also considered by Irie et al. [20]. Gupta and Lal [21] analyzed the axisymmetric vibrations of polar orthotropic Mindlin annular plates of variable thickness using a Chebyshev collocation technique. Singh and Goel [22], and Singh and Tyagi [23] studied elliptic and circular plates using the Ritz method and obtained a sequence of approximations. In another series of papers Singh and Chakraverty [24-29] used characteristic orthogonal polynomials with the same method to obtain the frequencies and mode shapes of circular and elliptic plates with constant and variable thickness under different boundary conditions.

Recently, employing the Ritz method, Singh and Saxena [30] studied the axisymmetric transverse vibration of a circular plate with two different linear variations in thickness; one in the central core and the other in the outer annular region. The boundary was taken to be either clamped or simply supported. Gutierrez et al. [31] investigated the vibration and buckling of circular plates of thickness varying according to the functional relation $h_{0}\left[1+\gamma(\bar{r} / a)^{n}\right]$, where $n$ is a positive integer, using the classical Ritz method.

However, none of the above references for variable thickness plates determined frequencies by 3-D analysis. The primary objective of the present work is to present truly accurate values of the free-vibration frequencies of thick,
linearly tapered, annular plates. Besides presenting the method of analysis and establishing its accuracy by means of convergence studies, comparisons are made with other 2-D results. The accurate 3-D results presented here serve as benchmarks against which other approximate methods (e.g., finite element, finite difference methods) and 2-D plate theories, first order and higher order, may be tested.

## 2. METHOD OF ANALYSIS

A representative annular plate with thickness ( $h$ ) varying linearly along the radial direction, with inner radius $b$, outer radius $a$, inner edge thickness $h_{i}$, and outer edge thickness $h_{o}$, is shown in Figure 1.

The local co-ordinate system ( $s, \theta, z$ ), also shown in the figure, is used in the analysis. The radial $(s)$ and thickness $(z)$ co-ordinates are measured normally from the inner edge and the mid-plane of the annular plate, respectively, and $\theta$ is the circumferential angle. The radial co-ordinate could equally well originate at the center of the plate, but is used in the present manner to be consistent with a more general method of analysis applicable to thick shells having variable curvature and thickness [32].

To analyze the free vibrations of the annular plate the kinetic energy $(T)$ and strain (potential) energy ( $V$ ) will be developed in terms of three displacement components $u, v$, and $w$, which are taken positive in the directions of increasing $s, \theta$, and $z$ (see Figure 1).


Figure 1. Cross-section of a thick, linearly tapered annular plate with local co-ordinate system $(s, \theta, z)$.

The kinetic energy is simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(\dot{u}^{2}+v^{2}+\dot{w}^{2}\right)(s+b) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} z, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is mass density, the dots (') denote time derivatives, and the integration is carried out over the domain $(\Omega)$ of the annular plate.

The strain (potential) energy of deformation is expressed in terms of the stresses $\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)$ and strains $\left(\epsilon_{i j}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\sigma_{s s} \epsilon_{s s}+\sigma_{\theta \theta} \epsilon_{\theta \theta}+\sigma_{z z} \epsilon_{z z}+\sigma_{s \theta} \epsilon_{s \theta}+\sigma_{s z} \epsilon_{s z}+\sigma_{\theta z} \epsilon_{\theta z}\right)(s+b) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} z \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The well-known stress-strain equations of isotropic, linear elasticity are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i i}=\lambda\left(\epsilon_{s s}+\epsilon_{z z}+\epsilon_{\theta \theta}\right)+2 G \epsilon_{i i}, \quad \sigma_{i j}=G \epsilon_{i j}(i \neq j), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $G$ are the Lamé parameters (G. Lamé 1852), expressed in terms of Young's modulus ( $E$ ) and Poisson's ratio ( $v$ ) for an isotropic solid as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=E v /(1+v)(1-2 v), \quad G=E / 2(1+v) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three-dimensional strains are found to be related to the displacements by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\epsilon_{s s}=u_{s}, \quad \epsilon_{\theta \theta}=[1 /(s+b)]\left(u+v_{\theta}\right), \quad \epsilon_{z z}=w_{, z},  \tag{5a-c}\\
\epsilon_{s \theta}=[1 /(s+b)]\left[u_{\theta}-v+(s+b) v_{s,}\right], \quad \epsilon_{s z}=u_{z z}+w_{s, s}, \\
\epsilon_{\theta z}=[1 /(s+b)]\left[(s+b) v_{, z}+w_{, \theta}\right], \tag{5~d-f}
\end{gather*}
$$

where subscripted symbols following commas denote differentiations.
Substituting equations (3) and (5) into equation (2) results in

$$
\begin{align*}
V= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\lambda\left(\epsilon_{s s}+\epsilon_{\theta \theta}+\epsilon_{z z}\right)^{2}+G\left\{2\left(\epsilon_{s s}^{2}+\epsilon_{\theta \theta}^{2}+\epsilon_{z z}^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\epsilon_{s \theta}^{2}+\epsilon_{s z}^{2}+\epsilon_{\theta z}^{2}\right\}\right](s+b) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} z . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

For convenience, the $s$ and $z$ co-ordinates are made dimensionless,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \equiv s / L, \quad \zeta \equiv z / h_{m}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the radial width of the plate, $a-b$, and $h_{m}$ is the average plate thickness, defined by $h_{m} \equiv\left(h_{i}+h_{o}\right) / 2$.

For the free, undamped vibration, the time ( $t$ ) response of the three displacements is sinusoidal and, moreover, the circular symmetry of the plate allows the displacements to be expressed by

$$
\begin{align*}
u(\psi, \theta, \zeta, t) & =U(\psi, \zeta) \cos n \theta \sin (\omega t+\alpha)  \tag{8a}\\
v(\psi, \theta, \zeta, t) & =V(\psi, \zeta) \sin n \theta \sin (\omega t+\alpha)  \tag{8b}\\
w(\psi, \theta, \zeta, t) & =W(\psi, \zeta) \cos n \theta \sin (\omega t+\alpha) \tag{8c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U, V$, and $W$ are displacement functions of $\psi$ and $\zeta, \omega$ is a natural frequency, $\alpha$ is an arbitrary phase angle determined by the initial conditions, and $n=0,1,2, \ldots, \infty$. By substituting equations (8) into the three partial differential equations of motion for the body, expressed in cylindrical co-ordinates, one may verify that these are proper assumed forms for the displacements, and that $\theta$ and $t$ are thereby uncoupled from $\psi$ and $\zeta$.

A complementary set of functions may also be used for equations (8) replacing $\cos n \theta$ by $\sin n \theta$, and conversely. This gives the same vibratory mode shapes rotated by $\pi / 2 n$ in $\theta$, and the same frequencies, except for $n=0$. For $n=0$, equations (8) yield the axisymmetric modes which involve only $u$ and $w$ (for example, longitudinal and/or radial extension). However, the complementary set for $n=0$ yields the torsional modes, which involve only $v$, uncoupled from $u$ and $w$. Thus, for the annular cross-section, there is no warping of the cross-section during torsional vibration.

Using algebraic polynomials which are mathematically complete, displacement functions $U, V$, and $W$ in equations (8) which are capable of satisfying any geometrical boundary conditions may be represented by

$$
U=\eta \sum_{i=0}^{I} \sum_{j=0}^{J} A_{i j} \psi^{i} \zeta^{j}, \quad V=\eta \sum_{k=0}^{K} \sum_{l=0}^{L} B_{k l} \psi^{k} \zeta^{l}, \quad W=\eta \sum_{m=0}^{M} \sum_{n=0}^{N} C_{m n} \psi^{m \zeta^{n}},
$$

where $i, j, k, l, m$, and $n$ are integers; $I, J, K, L, M$, and $N$ are the highest degrees of the polynomial terms; $A_{i j}, B_{k l}$, and $C_{m n}$ are arbitrary coefficients; and $\eta$ depends upon the boundary conditions to be enforced. For example: (1) completely free: $\eta=1$; (2) inner edge fixed, outer edge free: $\eta=\psi$; (3) outer edge fixed, inner edge free: $\eta=\psi-1$; (4) both inner and outer edges fixed: $\eta=\psi(\psi-1)$.

The $\eta$ functions shown above impose only the necessary geometric constraints at the boundaries, which are required when using the Ritz method, and ignore boundary conditions involving stress. Together with the algebraic polynomials, equations (9), they form mathematically complete sets (reference [33], pp. 266-268) that are capable of representing the free vibration mode shapes of an annular plate to any degree of accuracy desired.
The Ritz method uses the maximum energy functionals for the vibrating system. The maximum potential energy ( $V_{\max }$ ) during a vibratory cycle is due to the strain energy of deformation. Using equations (6)-(8) it becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\max }= & \frac{L G}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\delta(\psi) / 2}^{\delta(\psi) / 2}\left[\left\{\frac{\lambda}{G}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}+K_{3}\right)^{2}+2\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}+K_{3}^{2}\right)+K_{4}^{2}\right\} \Gamma_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(K_{5}^{2}+K_{6}^{2}\right) \Gamma_{2}\right] r^{*} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \psi, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{1} \equiv(U+n V) / r^{*}, \quad K_{2} \equiv\left(h_{m} / L\right) U_{, \psi}, \quad K_{3} \equiv W_{, 5}, \quad K_{4} \equiv U_{, \xi}+\left(h_{m} / L\right) W_{, \psi,}, \\
K_{5} \equiv V_{, \zeta}-n W / r^{*}, \quad K_{6} \equiv(n U+V) / r^{*}-\left(h_{m} / L\right) V_{, \psi}, \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $r^{*}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{*} \equiv(s+b) / h_{m}=(\psi+b / L) L / h_{m} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\delta(\psi)$ is the non-dimensional thickness, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\psi) \equiv h(s) / h_{m}=\left[2 /\left(1+h^{*}\right)\right]\left[\left(1-h^{*}\right) \psi+h^{*}\right], \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h^{*}$ is the taper ratio of $h_{i} / h_{o}$, and $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are constants defined by

$$
\Gamma_{1} \equiv \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos ^{2} n \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
2 \pi, & \text { if } n=0  \tag{14}\\
\pi, & \text { if } n \geqslant 1
\end{array}\right\}, \quad \Gamma_{2} \equiv \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin ^{2} n \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0, & \text { if } n=0 \\
\pi, & \text { if } n \geqslant 1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It is known that $\lambda$ and $G$ have the same dimensions as $E$ from equations (4). The non-dimensional constant $\lambda / G$ in equation (10) involves only $v$; i.e., $\lambda / G=2 v /(1-2 v)$.

The maximum kinetic energy during a vibratory cycle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\max }=\frac{\rho L h_{m}^{2} \omega^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\delta(\psi) / 2}^{\delta \delta(\psi) / 2}\left[\left(U^{2}+W^{2}\right) \Gamma_{1}+V^{2} \Gamma_{2}\right] r^{*} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \psi . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalue problem for finding natural frequencies and mode shapes is determined from the Ritz minimizing equations. For this present problem, these are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial\left(V_{\max }-T_{\max }\right)}{\partial A_{i j}}=0, \quad\binom{i=0,1,2, \ldots, I}{j=0,1,2, \ldots, J},  \tag{16a}\\
\frac{\partial\left(V_{\max }-T_{\max }\right)}{\partial B_{k l}}=0, \quad\binom{k=0,1,2, \ldots, K}{l=0,1,2, \ldots, L},  \tag{16b}\\
\frac{\partial\left(V_{\max }-T_{\max }\right)}{\partial C_{m n}}=0, \quad\binom{m=0,1,2, \ldots, M}{n=0,1,2, \ldots, N} . \tag{16c}
\end{gather*}
$$

The minimizing conditions of equations (16) produce a set of algebraic equations (or Ritz system) consisting of $[(\mathrm{I}+1)(J+1)+(K+1)(L+1)$ $+(M+1)(N+1)$ ] linear, homogeneous, algebraic equations with the same number of unknowns $A_{i j}, B_{k l}$, and $C_{m n}$. The equations can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{K}-\Lambda \mathbf{M}) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0} \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1}-\Lambda \mathbf{I}\right) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ are stiffness and mass matrices resulting from the maximum strain energy ( $V_{\max }$ ) and the maximum kinetic energy ( $T_{\max }$ ), respectively, and $\Lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the vibrating system, expressed as the square of non-dimensional frequency, $\omega^{2} h_{m}^{2} \rho / G$, and the vector $\mathbf{x}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}=\left(A_{00}, A_{01}, \ldots, A_{I J} ; B_{00}, B_{01}, \ldots, B_{K L} ; C_{00}, C_{01}, \ldots, C_{M N}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1
Convergence of frequencies $\omega a \sqrt{\rho / G}$ of a completely free, annular plate with linearly varying thickness along the radial direction for the five lowest modes for $n=2$ with $h_{i} / h_{o}=1 / 3, b / L=0 \cdot 2, h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 2$ and $v=0.3$

| $T Z$ | TS | DET | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 12 | $0 \cdot 5029$ | $1 \cdot 847$ | 3.587 | $4 \cdot 127$ | 8.684 |
| 2 | 4 | 24 | 0.4182 | 1.707 | $2 \cdot 540$ | 4.058 | 5.587 |
| 2 | 6 | 36 | $0 \cdot 4166$ | 1.692 | $2 \cdot 514$ | 4.050 | $5 \cdot 066$ |
| 2 | 8 | 48 | $0 \cdot 4165$ | 1.688 | $2 \cdot 512$ | 4.049 | 4.999 |
| 2 | 10 | 60 | $0 \cdot 4165$ | 1.687 | $2 \cdot 512$ | 4.049 | 4.995 |
| 3 | 2 | 18 | $0 \cdot 4678$ | 1.843 | $3 \cdot 520$ | $4 \cdot 126$ | 8.616 |
| 3 | 4 | 36 | $0 \cdot 4064$ | 1.704 | $2 \cdot 355$ | 4.057 | $5 \cdot 343$ |
| 3 | 6 | 54 | $0 \cdot 4052$ | 1.690 | $2 \cdot 355$ | 4.050 | $4 \cdot 748$ |
| 3 | 8 | 72 | $0 \cdot 4051$ | 1.686 | $2 \cdot 334$ | $\underline{4.048}$ | 4.692 |
| 3 | 10 | 90 | $0 \cdot 4051$ | $\underline{1.685}$ | 2.334 | 4.048 | $4 \cdot 690$ |
| 4 | 2 | 24 | 0.4602 | 1.842 | $3 \cdot 450$ | $4 \cdot 124$ | 8.610 |
| 4 | 4 | 48 | $0 \cdot 4033$ | 1.704 | $2 \cdot 329$ | 4.057 | $5 \cdot 290$ |
| 4 | 6 | 72 | $0 \cdot 4022$ | 1.690 | $2 \cdot 310$ | 4.050 | $4 \cdot 676$ |
| 4 | 8 | 96 | $0 \cdot 4022$ | 1.686 | $2 \cdot 309$ | 4.048 | $4 \cdot 622$ |
| 4 | 10 | 120 | 0.4021 | 1.685 | $2 \cdot 309$ | 4.048 | $4 \cdot 620$ |
| 5 | 2 | 30 | 0.4602 | 1.841 | 3.445 | $4 \cdot 124$ | 8.604 |
| 5 | 4 | 60 | $0 \cdot 4033$ | 1.704 | $2 \cdot 328$ | 4.057 | $5 \cdot 287$ |
| 5 | 6 | 90 | $0 \cdot 4022$ | 1.690 | $2 \cdot 309$ | 4.050 | $4 \cdot 673$ |
| 5 | 8 | 120 | $0 \cdot 4022$ | 1.686 | $\underline{2 \cdot 308}$ | 4.048 | $4 \cdot 620$ |
| 5 | 10 | 150 | $0 \cdot 4021$ | 1.685 | 2.308 | 4.048 | $\underline{4.619}$ |
| 6 | 2 | 36 | 0.4598 | $1 \cdot 841$ | 3.435 | $4 \cdot 124$ | 8.604 |
| 6 | 4 | 72 | $0 \cdot 4031$ | 1.704 | $2 \cdot 328$ | 4.057 | $5 \cdot 274$ |
| 6 | 6 | 108 | $0 \cdot 4022$ | 1.690 | $2 \cdot 309$ | 4.050 | $4 \cdot 670$ |
| 6 | 8 | 144 | $0 \cdot 4021$ | 1.686 | $2 \cdot 308$ | 4.048 | $4 \cdot 620$ |
| 6 | 9 | 162 | $0 \cdot 4021$ | 1.686 | $2 \cdot 308$ | 4.048 | $4 \cdot 619$ |

Notes: $\boldsymbol{T Z}=$ total number of natural polynomial terms used in the $z$ or $\zeta$ direction; $\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{S}=$ total number of natural polynomial terms used in the $s$ or $\psi$ direction: $\boldsymbol{D E T}=$ determinant order.

Equation (17) represents the eigenvalue problem. For a non-trivial solution, the determinant of the coefficient matrix is set to zero; that is to say $|\mathbf{K}-\Lambda \mathbf{M}|=0$ or $\left|\mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1}-\Lambda \mathbf{I}\right|=0$ where $\mathbf{I}$ is an identity matrix and $\mathbf{M}^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\mathbf{M}$. The roots of the determinant are the eigenvalues. Substituting each eigenvalue back into the equations generating the eigenvalue determinant yields the corresponding eigenvector, and substituting the eigenvector into the displacement functions will give the mode shape for each eigenvalue.

Table 2
Non-dimensional frequencies $\omega L \sqrt{\rho / G}$ of completely free, annular plates with linearly varying thickness along the radial direction for $b / L=0 \cdot 2, h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 1$ and $v=0 \cdot 3$

| $n$ | Mode | $h_{i} / h_{o}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1/3 | 1 | 3 | $\infty$ |
| $0(T)$ | 1 | $6 \cdot 118$ | $5 \cdot 558$ | 5.181 | 4.997 | $5 \cdot 375$ |
|  | 2 | 9.768 | 8.949 | $8 \cdot 640$ | $8 \cdot 512$ | 9.027 |
|  | 3 | 13.38 | 12.40 | $12 \cdot 16$ | $12 \cdot 06$ | $12 \cdot 66$ |
|  | 4 | 17.01 | 15.95 | $15 \cdot 76$ | 15.68 | $16 \cdot 34$ |
|  | 5 | $20 \cdot 66$ | $19 \cdot 57$ | 19.41 | $19 \cdot 34$ | $20 \cdot 04$ |
| $0(A)$ | 1 | (2) 0.3875 | (2) 0.3198 | (2) 0.3438 | (2) 0.4334 | (2) 0.6510 |
|  | 2 | $1 \cdot 657$ | $1 \cdot 505$ | $1 \cdot 557$ | $1 \cdot 592$ | 1.763 |
|  | 3 | $2 \cdot 449$ | 2.799 | $3 \cdot 152$ | $3 \cdot 501$ | $3 \cdot 323$ |
|  | 4 | $3 \cdot 246$ | 3.427 | $3 \cdot 573$ | $3 \cdot 568$ | $4 \cdot 104$ |
|  | 5 | $5 \cdot 217$ | 5.981 | 6.241 | $6 \cdot 039$ | $5 \cdot 277$ |
| 1 | 1 | (4) 0.6589 | (4) 0.6966 | (4) 0.7851 | (5) $0 \cdot 8666$ | (5) 1.092 |
|  | 2 | 1.805 | 1.903 | $2 \cdot 113$ | $2 \cdot 206$ | $2 \cdot 321$ |
|  | 3 | $2 \cdot 728$ | 2.739 | 2.776 | $2 \cdot 890$ | 3.271 |
|  | 4 | $3 \cdot 360$ | 3.739 | $4 \cdot 014$ | $4 \cdot 017$ | $3 \cdot 810$ |
|  | 5 | $5 \cdot 315$ | $6 \cdot 220$ | $6 \cdot 384$ | $6 \cdot 399$ | $5 \cdot 630$ |
| 2 | 1 | (1) 0.2368 | (1) $0 \cdot 2104$ | (1) $0 \cdot 2085$ | (1) $0 \cdot 2550$ | (1) $0 \cdot 4005$ |
|  | 2 | 1.211 | 1.298 | $1 \cdot 339$ | $1 \cdot 343$ | $1 \cdot 502$ |
|  | 3 | 1.338 | $1 \cdot 686$ | 1.995 | $2 \cdot 310$ | $2 \cdot 620$ |
|  | 4 | $2 \cdot 219$ | 2.742 | 3.026 | $3 \cdot 046$ | 2.973 |
|  | 5 | $3 \cdot 691$ | 4.049 | 4.080 | $4 \cdot 192$ | $4 \cdot 667$ |
| 3 | 1 | (3) 0.6430 | (3) 0.5562 | (3) 0.4937 | (3) 0.4919 | (3) 0.6735 |
|  | 2 | 1.918 | $2 \cdot 026$ | $2 \cdot 005$ | 1.913 | 1.957 |
|  | 3 | 2.731 | $3 \cdot 129$ | $3 \cdot 551$ | 3.902 | $3 \cdot 607$ |
|  | 4 | $2 \cdot 845$ | $3 \cdot 735$ | 3.987 | $4 \cdot 093$ | $4 \cdot 862$ |
|  | 5 | $4 \cdot 220$ | $5 \cdot 703$ | $5 \cdot 786$ | 5.950 | $5 \cdot 536$ |
| 4 | 1 | (5) $1 \cdot 190$ | (5) 1.018 | (5) 0.8572 | (4) 0.7496 | (4) 0.8893 |
|  | 2 | 2.773 | 2.851 | 2.734 | 2.502 | $2 \cdot 366$ |
|  | 3 | 3.650 | $4 \cdot 268$ | $4 \cdot 684$ | $4 \cdot 762$ | $4 \cdot 200$ |
|  | 4 | 3.929 | $4 \cdot 809$ | 4.980 | $5 \cdot 288$ | $6 \cdot 296$ |
|  | 5 | $4 \cdot 925$ | 6.999 | $7 \cdot 428$ | $7 \cdot 359$ | $6 \cdot 311$ |

Notes: $T \sim$ torsional mode; $A \sim$ axisymmetric mode; numbers in parentheses identify frequency sequences.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

Figure 2. Cross-sections of annular plates with $b / L=0 \cdot 2$ and $h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 1$ : (a) $h_{i} / h_{o}=0$; (b) $h_{i} / h_{o}=1 / 3$; (c) $h_{i} / h_{o}=1$; (d) $h_{i} / h_{o}=3$; (e) $h_{i} / h_{o}=\infty$.

As it is well-known, frequencies by the Ritz method converge in the manner of upper bounds to the exact values. These upper bounds are improved by increasing the numbers of polynomial terms in equations (9). Since the algebraic polynomials of equations (9) form sets which are mathematically complete, as sufficient numbers of terms are taken, monotonic convergence to the exact frequencies is guaranteed.

## 3. CONVERGENCE STUDIES

A convergence study is based upon the fact that all the frequencies obtained by the Ritz method should converge to their exact values in an upper bound manner. If the results do not converge properly, or converge too slowly, it is likely that the assumed displacements may be poor ones, or be missing some functions from a minimal complete set of polynomials.

In Table 1, the results of a convergence study of nondimensional frequencies $\omega a \sqrt{\rho / G}$ for $n=2$ are shown for the annular plate with linearly varying thickness in the radial direction for $h_{i} / h_{o}=1 / 3, b / L=h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 2$, and $v=0 \cdot 3$. This annular plate is a thick one, for which classical plate theory would be inappropriate.

To make the study of convergence less complicated, equal numbers of polynomial terms were taken in both the $s$ or $\psi$-co-ordinate (i.e., $I=K=M$ ) and $z$ or $\zeta$-co-ordinate (i.e., $J=L=N$ ), although some computational optimization could be obtained for some configurations and some mode shapes by using unequal numbers of polynomial terms.

The symbols $\boldsymbol{T Z}$ and $\boldsymbol{T S}$ in the table indicate the total numbers of polynomial terms used in the $z$ (or $\zeta$ ) and $s$ (or $\psi$ ) directions, respectively. Note that the determinant order DET is related to $\boldsymbol{T Z}$ and $\boldsymbol{T S}$ as follows:

$$
\boldsymbol{D E T}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{Z} \times \boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{S} & \text { for torsional modes }(n=0)  \tag{19}\\
2 \times \boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{Z} \times \boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{S} & \text { for axisymmetric modes }(n=0) \\
3 \times \boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{Z} \times \boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{S} & \text { for general modes }(n \geqslant 1)
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Table 3
Non-dimensional frequencies $\omega L \sqrt{\rho / G}$ of completely free, annular plates with linearly varying thickness along the radial direction for $b / L=0 \cdot 2, h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 2$ and $v=0 \cdot 3$

| $n$ | Mode | $h_{i} / h_{o}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1/3 | 1 | 3 | $\infty$ |
| 0 (T) | 1 | 6.088 | $5 \cdot 551$ | $5 \cdot 181$ | 4.991 | $5 \cdot 348$ |
|  | 2 | 9.719 | 8.938 | $8 \cdot 640$ | 8.502 | 8.981 |
|  | 3 | 10.82 | 12.39 | $12 \cdot 16$ | 12.05 | 12.60 |
|  | 4 | 13.31 | 13.78 | 15.76 | 15.51 | 12.82 |
|  | 5 | 16.73 | 15.93 | 18.85 | 15.66 | 16.25 |
| 0 (A) | 1 | (2) 0.7306 | (2) 0.6173 | (2) 0.6687 | (2) 0.8440 | (2) 1.253 |
|  | 2 | $2 \cdot 448$ | 2.717 | $2 \cdot 804$ | 2.878 | $3 \cdot 204$ |
|  | 3 | 3.000 | 2.798 | $3 \cdot 150$ | 3.559 | 4.077 |
|  | 4 | 5.548 | $5 \cdot 644$ | 5.829 | $5 \cdot 782$ | 5.703 |
|  | 5 | $8 \cdot 376$ | 8.242 | 8.047 | $8 \cdot 120$ | 8.553 |
| 1 | 1 | (4) $1 \cdot 195$ | (4) 1.286 | (4) 1.454 | (5) 1.611 | (5) 2.016 |
|  | 2 | 2.727 | 2.738 | 2.776 | 2.888 | $3 \cdot 263$ |
|  | 3 | $3 \cdot 244$ | $3 \cdot 332$ | $3 \cdot 597$ | 3.718 | 3.945 |
|  | 4 | 5.717 | 6.062 | $6 \cdot 340$ | $6 \cdot 300$ | $6 \cdot 131$ |
|  | 5 | 6.978 | 6.537 | $6 \cdot 368$ | 6.577 | 7.284 |
| 2 | 1 | (1) $0 \cdot 4392$ | (1) 0.4021 | (1) 0.4062 | (1) 0.4958 | (1) 0.7641 |
|  | 2 | (5) 1.336 | (5) $1 \cdot 685$ | 1.995 | $2 \cdot 308$ | 2.610 |
|  | 3 | $2 \cdot 135$ | $2 \cdot 308$ | $2 \cdot 416$ | $2 \cdot 459$ | 2.765 |
|  | 4 | 3.925 | 4.048 | 4.078 | $4 \cdot 189$ | 4.654 |
|  | 5 | 4.038 | $4 \cdot 619$ | 5.001 | 5.080 | $5 \cdot 131$ |
| 3 | 1 | (3) $1 \cdot 140$ | (3) 1.027 | (3) 0.9422 | (3) 0.9540 | (3) 1.295 |
|  | 2 | 2.720 | $3 \cdot 124$ | 3.480 | $3 \cdot 408$ | $3 \cdot 560$ |
|  | 3 | $3 \cdot 273$ | 3.445 | 3.551 | 4.090 | 4.844 |
|  | 4 | 4.954 | 5.698 | 5.783 | 5.946 | $6 \cdot 147$ |
|  | 5 | 5.630 | 6.023 | 6.356 | 6.330 | 6.534 |
| 4 | 1 | $2 \cdot 002$ | 1.805 | (5) 1.592 | (4) 1.437 | (4) 1.707 |
|  | 2 | 3.907 | $4 \cdot 260$ | 4.577 | $4 \cdot 351$ | 4.278 |
|  |  | 4.530 | 4.638 | 4.684 | 5.284 | 6.284 |
|  | 4 | 6.271 | 7.322 | 7.421 | 7.533 | 7.095 |
|  | 5 | $7 \cdot 241$ | 7.419 | 7.671 | 7.605 | 8.333 |

Notes: $T \sim$ torsional mode; $A \sim$ axisymmetric mode; numbers in parentheses identify frequency sequences.


Figure 3. Cross-sections of annular plates with $b / L=0 \cdot 2$ and $h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 2$ : (a) $h_{i} / h_{o}=0$; (b) $h_{i} / h_{o}=1 / 3$; (c) $h_{i} / h_{o}=1$; (d) $h_{i} / h_{o}=3$; (e) $h_{i} / h_{o}=\infty$.

In the table, there are five columns of data corresponding to the five lowest frequencies. The frequencies in bold and underlined indicate the best convergent values in each column with the smallest determinant size. The zero frequencies corresponding to the rigid body modes have been removed. The values of $\boldsymbol{T Z}$ and $\boldsymbol{T S}$ begin with 2 , increasing $\boldsymbol{T S}$ by 2 up to 10 , and $\boldsymbol{T Z}$ by 1 up to 6 . When $\boldsymbol{T Z}$ is $6, \boldsymbol{T S}$ is taken as 9 instead of 10 , since it would require a tremendous computer time and memory to evaluate the stiffness and mass matrices. Frequencies in the table are given to four digits.

It is interesting to note that the frequencies seen in Table 1 for the first, second and fourth modes are reasonably accurate even when only two polynomial terms are taken through the thickness $(\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{Z}=2)$, provided enough terms are used in the radial direction $(\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{S} \geqslant 8)$. However, the third and fifth frequencies are quite inaccurate $\boldsymbol{T Z}=2$, being 8.8 and $8 \cdot 1 \%$ too high, respectively. This low degree solution corresponds to the Mindlin thick plate theory, which permits no variation in $z$ for the $w$ displacement, and restricts $u$ and $v$ to vary linearly with $z$.

One may also note that the mode shapes uncouple into ones which are either symmetric or antisymmetric with respect to the mid-plane $(z=0)$ of the plate. The lower frequency modes described in Table 1 are all antisymmetric, involving predominantly bending and thickness-shear. The symmetric modes (e.g., radial and axial extension) are higher frequency ones.

Additional convergence studies were also made for other circumferential numbers ( $n=0$, torsional and axisymmetric; and $n=1$ ) by Kang [32]. They showed similar rates of convergence.

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tables 2-5 present accurate (four significant figure) nondimensional frequencies $\omega a \sqrt{\rho / G}$ of completely free, thick, linearly tapered, annular plates. Figures 2-5

Table 4
Non-dimensional frequencies $\omega L \sqrt{\rho / G}$ of completely free, annular plates with linearly varying thickness along the radial direction for $b / L=0 \cdot 5, h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 1$ and $v=0 \cdot 3$

| $n$ | Mode | $h_{i} / h_{o}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1/3 | 1 | 3 | $\infty$ |
| $0(T)$ | 1 | 6.912 | $6 \cdot 059$ | $5 \cdot 604$ | $5 \cdot 461$ | 5.990 |
|  | 2 | 11.41 | $10 \cdot 28$ | 9.971 | $9 \cdot 890$ | $10 \cdot 62$ |
|  | 3 | 15.97 | 14.73 | 14.52 | 14.46 | 15.29 |
|  | 4 | $20 \cdot 57$ | $19 \cdot 30$ | $19 \cdot 14$ | 19.09 | 19.98 |
|  | 5 | $25 \cdot 20$ | 23.93 | $23 \cdot 80$ | 23.75 | 24.68 |
| $0(A)$ | 1 | (2) 0.3497 | (2) 0.2665 | (2) 0.2713 | (2) $0 \cdot 3494$ | (2) 0.5363 |
|  | 2 | 1.932 | 1.694 | 1.709 | 1.711 | 1.919 |
|  | 3 | 2.181 | $2 \cdot 405$ | $2 \cdot 629$ | $2 \cdot 864$ | $3 \cdot 113$ |
|  | 4 | 3.921 | 4.092 | 4.221 | 4.094 | $3 \cdot 889$ |
|  | 5 | $6 \cdot 392$ | $7 \cdot 301$ | $7 \cdot 580$ | $7 \cdot 294$ | $6 \cdot 354$ |
| 1 | 1 | (4) 0.5403 | (4) $0 \cdot 4835$ | (4) 0.5564 | (5) 0.6836 | (5) $0 \cdot 9371$ |
|  | 2 | $2 \cdot 000$ | 1.827 | 1.898 | 1.937 | $2 \cdot 133$ |
|  | 3 | 2.704 | 2.756 | $2 \cdot 840$ | 2.997 | 3.354 |
|  | 4 | 3.975 | $4 \cdot 193$ | $4 \cdot 351$ | $4 \cdot 238$ | 4.019 |
|  | 5 | 6.438 | 6.954 | $6 \cdot 680$ | 6.661 | 6.447 |
| 2 | 1 | (1) $0 \cdot 1762$ | (1) $0 \cdot 1551$ | (1) $0 \cdot 1545$ | (1) $0 \cdot 1920$ | (1) $0 \cdot 2907$ |
|  | 2 | 0.9149 | (1) 0.9044 | 1.019 | $1 \cdot 134$ | 1.406 |
|  | 3 | $1 \cdot 004$ | 1.249 | 1.421 | $1 \cdot 542$ | 1.594 |
|  | 4 | $2 \cdot 200$ | $2 \cdot 194$ | 2.401 | $2 \cdot 528$ | 2.716 |
|  | 5 | 4.052 | 4.050 | 4.096 | 4.260 | 4.437 |
| 3 | 1 | (3) 0.4861 | (3) 0.4221 | (3) $0 \cdot 3896$ | (3) 0.4240 | (3) $0 \cdot 6100$ |
|  | 2 | 1.348 | 1.436 | 1.566 | 1.631 | 1.846 |
|  | 3 | $2 \cdot 282$ | 2.700 | 3.051 | $3 \cdot 283$ | $3 \cdot 388$ |
|  | 4 | $2 \cdot 516$ | 2.741 | $3 \cdot 105$ | $3 \cdot 361$ | $3 \cdot 567$ |
|  | 5 | 4.397 | 4.955 | $5 \cdot 338$ | $5 \cdot 381$ | $5 \cdot 130$ |
| 4 | 1 | (5) 0.9141 | (5) 0.7884 | (5) $0 \cdot 6900$ | (4) 0.6643 | (4) $0 \cdot 8692$ |
|  | 2 | 1.828 | 2.074 | $2 \cdot 196$ | $2 \cdot 186$ | 2.303 |
|  | 3 | 2.931 | 3.433 | 3.943 | $4 \cdot 112$ | 4.048 |
|  | 4 | 3.533 | 4.003 | $4 \cdot 472$ | 4.998 | 5.494 |
|  | 5 | 4.757 | $5 \cdot 574$ | $6 \cdot 136$ | $6 \cdot 292$ | 5.960 |

Notes: $T \sim$ torsional mode; $A \sim$ axisymmetric mode; numbers in parentheses identify frequency sequences.
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Figure 4. Cross-sections of annular plates with $b / L=0 \cdot 5$ and $h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 1$ : (a) $h_{i} / h_{o}=0$; (b) $h_{i} / h_{o}=1 / 3$; (c) $h_{i} / h_{o}=1$; (d) $h_{i} / h_{o}=3$; (e) $h_{i} / h_{o}=\infty$.
show the configurations corresponding to Tables $2-5$, respectively. Thirty frequencies are given for each configuration, which arise from six circumferential mode numbers ( $n$ ), (i.e., $n=0(T), 0(A), 1,2,3,4$ ) and the first five modes for each value of $n$, where $T$ and $A$ indicate torsional and axisymmetric modes, respectively. Numbers in parentheses identify the first five frequencies for each configuration. The zero frequencies of rigid body modes are omitted from the tables.

It is seen that irrespective of the aspect ratio $(b / L)$, thickness ratio $\left(h_{m} / L\right)$, and the taper ratio $\left(h_{i} / h_{o}\right)$, the fundamental, second, and third frequencies occur with mode shapes having two ( $n=2$ ), zero ( $n=0$, axisymmetric), and three ( $n=3$ ) circumferential waves, respectively. It is known that the fundamental mode is in bending with $n=2$ for a completely free annular plate with constant thickness [10].
Table 2 shows that, for the moderately thick plate ( $h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 1$ ) having a relatively small hole ( $b / L=0 \cdot 2$, which corresponds to $b / a=1 / 6$ ), most of the frequencies are not changed greatly by the drastic variation of inner-to-outer thickness ratio $\left(0 \leqslant h_{i} / h_{o} \leqslant \infty\right)$ when the average thickness $\left(h_{m}\right)$ is kept constant. However, the first two modes ( $n=2$ and $0(A)$ ) are notable exceptions to this, with the frequencies nearly doubling as the plate varies from having a sharp inner edge $\left(h_{i} / h_{o}=0\right)$ to having a sharp outer edge $\left(h_{i} / h_{o}=\infty\right)$, as shown in Figure 2. Considering thicker plates ( $h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 2$, Table 3) or ones with a larger hole ( $b / L=0 \cdot 5$, Table 4), the frequencies are seen to be somewhat more greatly affected with changing $h_{i} / h_{o}$.

One also sees in Tables 2-5 that for a plate having a fixed average thickness $\left(h_{m}\right)$ the first two frequencies are always largest when the greatest thickness is at the inner edge $\left(h_{i} / h_{o}=\infty\right)$, but not necessarily for the other modes.

As expected in Tables 2-5, the torsional ( $n=0(T)$ ) frequencies, are much greater than the axisymmetric $(n=0(A))$ frequencies. This is because, even for these thick plate configurations, the axisymmetric modes are predominantly bending, with the largest displacement components being normal to the plate middle surface,

Table 5
Non-dimensional frequencies $\omega L \sqrt{\rho / G}$ of completely free, annular plates with linearly varying thickness along the radial direction for $b / L=0 \cdot 5, h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 2$ and $v=0 \cdot 3$

| $n$ | Mode | $h_{i} / h_{o}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1/3 | 1 | 3 | $\infty$ |
| 0 | 1 | 6.879 | 6.051 | 5.604 | $5 \cdot 454$ | 5.960 |
|  | 2 | 11.36 | $10 \cdot 26$ | 9.971 | 9.877 | $10 \cdot 57$ |
|  | 3 | 13.62 | 14.72 | 14.52 | $14 \cdot 44$ | 14.98 |
|  | 4 | $15 \cdot 88$ | 17.31 | $19 \cdot 14$ | $18 \cdot 50$ | $15 \cdot 21$ |
|  | 5 | $20 \cdot 45$ | $19 \cdot 28$ | 23.56 | $19 \cdot 06$ | $19 \cdot 87$ |
| 0 | 1 | (2) 0.6639 | (2) $0 \cdot 5186$ | (2) 0.5316 | (2) $0 \cdot 6838$ | (2) $1 \cdot 034$ |
|  | 2 | 2.181 | $2 \cdot 405$ | $2 \cdot 628$ | $2 \cdot 862$ | 3.109 |
|  | 3 | 3.513 | 3.086 | $3 \cdot 112$ | $3 \cdot 123$ | $3 \cdot 501$ |
|  | 4 | 6.721 | 6.770 | 6.920 | $6 \cdot 790$ | $6 \cdot 690$ |
|  | 5 | $10 \cdot 116$ | 8.970 | $8 \cdot 614$ | 8.783 | 9.948 |
| 1 | 1 | (4) 0.9887 | (4) $0 \cdot 9142$ | (4) 1.042 | (4) 1.254 | $1 \cdot 6640$ |
|  | 2 | 2.703 | 2.756 | 2.839 | 2.995 | $3 \cdot 349$ |
|  | 3 | 3.620 | $3 \cdot 296$ | $3 \cdot 388$ | $3 \cdot 420$ | 3.742 |
|  | 4 | 6.796 | 6.908 | 6.676 | $6 \cdot 650$ | 6.808 |
|  | 5 | 7.536 | 6.942 | 7.083 | 6.948 | $7 \cdot 139$ |
| 2 | 1 | (1) 0.3332 | (1) $0 \cdot 3001$ | (1) $0 \cdot 3027$ | (1) $0 \cdot 3729$ | (1) $0 \cdot 5517$ |
|  | 2 | (5) 1.003 | (5) $1 \cdot 248$ | 1.421 | 1.539 | (4) 1.586 |
|  | 3 | 1.651 | 1.675 | 1.886 | 2.099 | 2.572 |
|  | 4 | 3.932 | 3.877 | 4.095 | 4.257 | 4.542 |
|  | 5 | $4 \cdot 050$ | 4.049 | $4 \cdot 145$ | $4 \cdot 274$ | 4.747 |
| 3 | 1 | (3) 0.8941 | (3) 0.8237 | (3) 0.7536 | (3) 0.8237 | (3) $1 \cdot 164$ |
|  | 2 | 2.276 | 2.975 | 2.835 | 2.975 | 3.372 |
|  | 3 | 2.428 | $3 \cdot 356$ | 3.051 | $3 \cdot 356$ | $3 \cdot 550$ |
|  | 4 | $4 \cdot 423$ | $5 \cdot 446$ | $5 \cdot 216$ | 5.446 | $5 \cdot 681$ |
|  | 5 | $5 \cdot 680$ | 5.781 | $5 \cdot 620$ | 5.781 | $6 \cdot 404$ |
| 4 | 1 | $1 \cdot 621$ | $1 \cdot 450$ | (5) $1 \cdot 310$ | (5) 1.283 | (5) 1.6638 |
|  | 2 | $3 \cdot 291$ | 3.646 | (5) 362 | 3.901 | $4 \cdot 164$ |
|  | 3 | $3 \cdot 519$ | 3.998 | $4 \cdot 472$ | 4.993 | $5 \cdot 470$ |
|  | 4 | $5 \cdot 064$ | $5 \cdot 799$ | 6.461 | 6.708 | $6 \cdot 806$ |
|  | 5 | $7 \cdot 184$ | $7 \cdot 188$ | $7 \cdot 197$ | $7 \cdot 313$ | 7.978 |

Notes: $T \sim$ torsional mode; $A \sim$ axisymmetric mode; numbers in parentheses identify frequency sequences.
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Figure 5. Cross-sections of annular plates with $b / L=0 \cdot 5$ and $h_{m} / L=0 \cdot 2$ : (a) $h_{i} / h_{o}=0$; (b) $h_{i} / h_{o}=1 / 3$; (c) $h_{i} / h_{o}=1$; (d) $h_{i} / h_{o}=3$; (e) $h_{i} / h_{o}=\infty$.
whereas the torsional modes involve shearing, with the sole component being tangent to the plate middle surface, which entails greater stiffness than bending.

Comparing the torsional frequencies $(n=0(T))$ between Tables 2 and 3, it is seen that, for uniform thickness $\left(h_{i} / h_{o}=1\right)$, the first four (5•181, 8•640, 12•16, $15 \cdot 76$ ) are unaffected by doubling the plate thickness. These are counter-rotating modes, where the inner portion moves oppositely to its adjacent portion, creating nodal cylindrical surfaces of no displacement between them. These frequencies are obtainable as exact solutions, where the circumferential displacement $(V)$ varies with $\psi$ (or $s$ ) as a Bessel function (cf. reference [34]). The same phenomenon is seen for the plates with the larger holes, comparing Tables 4 and 5 . However, it is also seen that such relationships do not exist when the plate thickness is not constant ( $h_{i} / h_{o} \neq 1$ ).

## 5. COMPARISONS WITH 2-D PLATE RESULTS

Ramaiah and Vijayakumar [35] made a thorough study of annular circular plates with linear thickness variations, both increasing and decreasing with the radius. They treated all nine possible combinations of clamped, simply supported, and free edge conditions using various taper ratios $\left(h_{o} / h_{i}\right.$ or $\left.h_{i} / h_{o}\right)$ and boundary radii ratios $(b / a)$. They employed the Ritz method with nine trial functions in the radial direction, which should be sufficient to give accurate results. Their analysis
is based upon the 2-D thin plate theory. Frequencies corresponding to axisymmetric modes $(n=0)$ as well as for modes with one $(n=1)$ and two $(n=2)$ nodal diameters were obtained. The non-dimensional frequency parameter used for the annular plate was given by $2\left(\omega a^{2} / h_{i}\right) \sqrt{\rho / E}$ for $h_{i}>h_{o}$ or $2\left(\omega a^{2} / h_{o}\right) \sqrt{\rho / E}$ for $h_{i}<h_{o}$.

Table 6 compares the frequencies in $2\left(\omega a^{2} / h_{o}\right) \sqrt{\rho / E}$ from the 3-D and 2-D theories for four thin annular plates with linearly varying thickness in the radial direction, whose geometries are represented by $b / L=3 / 7$ and $h_{o} / h_{i}=0 \cdot 2,0 \cdot 4,0 \cdot 6$, and $0 \cdot 8$, with Poisson's ratio $0 \cdot 3$. It should be noticed that they did not give any information about the ratio of the plate thickness to boundary radii because their analysis was based upon the 2-D thin plate theory, so that $h_{m} / L$ is assumed to be 0.05 for the present comparison. In the table, there are three frequencies for each annular plate obtained from the 3-D Ritz method (3DR) and the 2-D Ritz method (2DR) [35], which correspond to three circumferential, flexural modes for $n=0$ (axisymmetric), 1, and 2. The percent different is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Difference }(\%)=(3 D R-2 D R) / 3 D R \times 100 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is observed from the table that the 3-D Ritz method yields lower frequencies than the 2-D Ritz results in the fundamental (lowest) frequencies (occurring for $n=2$ ) irrespective of the taper ratios, as expected. For the frequencies of $n=0$ and 1, as the thickness variation becomes smaller (i.e., the taper ratio $h_{o} / h_{i}$ increases), the absolute difference (\%) between 2DR and 3DR becomes smaller and then the 3 DR results become smaller than the 2 DR results. The maximum difference ( $7 \cdot 97 \%$ ) occurs for $n=0$ (axisymmetric mode) when $h_{o} / h_{i}=0 \cdot 2$. The positive percent differences are unexpected, because an accurate 3-D analysis

TABLE 6
Comparison of frequencies in $2\left(\omega a^{2} / h_{o}\right) \sqrt{\rho / E}$ from the 3-D and the 2-D theories of completely free, annular plates having linearly varying thickness along the radial direction for $b / L=3 / 7, h_{m} / L=0.05$ and $v=0.3$

| $n$ | Method | $\overbrace{}^{h_{o} / h_{i}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.2 | $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 8$ |
| $0(A)$ | 3DR | $4 \cdot 39$ | $4 \cdot 35$ | $4 \cdot 49$ | 4.73 |
|  | 2DR | $4 \cdot 04$ | $4 \cdot 22$ | $4 \cdot 45$ | $4 \cdot 73$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | (7.97) | (2.99) | (0.89) | (0) |
| 1 | 3DR | $8 \cdot 58$ | 9.02 | 9.60 | $10 \cdot 22$ |
|  | 2DR | $8 \cdot 22$ | 9.03 | 9.74 | $10 \cdot 41$ |
|  | Difference (\%) | (4.20) | $(-0 \cdot 11)$ | ( -1.46 ) | $(-1.86)$ |
| 2 | 3DR | $2 \cdot 50$ | 2.47 | 2.56 | 2.73 |
|  | 2DR | $2 \cdot 54$ | 2.49 | $2 \cdot 58$ | 2.75 |
|  | Difference (\%) | ( -1.60 ) | $(-0.81)$ | $(-0.78)$ | $(-0.73)$ |

Notes: 3DR ~ the 3-D frequencies by the Ritz method; 2DR ~ the 2-D frequencies by the Ritz method; values in parentheses are the percent difference between 3DR and 2DR.
should typically yield lower frequencies than those from 2-D thin plate theory, mainly because shear deformation and rotary inertia effects are accounted for in a $3-\mathrm{D}$ analysis, but not in 2-D, thin plate theory.

## 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Extensive and accurate frequency data determined by the three-dimensional Ritz analysis have been presented for thick, linearly tapered, annular plates. The analysis uses the three-dimensional equations of the theory of elasticity in their general forms for isotropic materials. They are only limited to small strains. No other constraints are placed upon the displacements. This is in stark contrast with the classical two-dimensional plate theories, which make very limiting assumptions about the displacement variation through the plate thickness.

The method is straightforward, but it is capable of determining frequencies and mode shapes as close to the exact ones as desired. It can therefore obtain benchmark results against which 3-D finite element results may be compared to determine the accuracy of the latter. Moreover, the frequency determinants required by the present method are at least an order of magnitude smaller than those needed by a finite element analysis of comparable accuracy.
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